Effective 10/08/07



#### DIRECTIONS FOR USE OF STANDARDS RUBRIC FOR STAFF EVALUATION Teachers

The Standards of Effective Teaching guide the process of professional development and evaluation of teachers. The general expectations for using this tool to assess teacher performance are as follows:

1. The administrator will conduct a classroom observation that encompasses a complete lesson (30 - 60 minutes).

2. Both the administrator and teacher will fill out a separate rubric after the observation. Each will select the number that best describes performance for each standard. Each will also select the number that best describes each skill area (gray areas). The skill area rating is based on overall judgment of that skill area, not an "average" of all scores assigned. Where applicable, references to the Principles of Learning are given for various performance standards.

3. This evaluation document must be done electronically, so that student and other evidence can be adequately noted. All cells will expand.

4. The administrator will hold a conference with the teacher following each classroom observation to discuss the observation and other thing known about that teacher's overall job performance.

		1	<meets standard=""></meets>	
Managing Classroom Procedures	Below Standard 1.5	Area for Growth	Solid Performance	Area of Strength
II. F	Students are inconsistently engaged in their work or off task. <b>1.0</b>		paged in their work and on task. engagement is equally visible for high and low	achievers.
II. G	Considerable instructional time is lost to transitions and non-instructional tasks. <b>1.5</b>		ns and efficient handling of non-instructional tag and routines are clearly established.	sks are evident.
Ш. Н	Does not set clear expectations for paraprofessionals and volunteers.	Sets clear expec	tations for the work of paraprofessionals and vo 3.0	olunteers.
•			st of the lesson. Another 10 students were off t tudents were asked to work with a partner, the	

## EXAMPLE:

## Timelines:

1. For non-tenured teachers, the first evaluation is completed by December 15, and the second evaluation is completed by May 1 of each school year.

select a partner and what specifically the task was. The paraprofessional in the classroom knew her role and how to support student learning.

2. Teachers who are recommended by their principal for contract non-renewal are notified by May 15 of each school year.

3. The conference following the observation is completed within ten school days of the observation, preferably sooner.

4. Evaluation documents completed by the administrator are sent to the teacher's personnel file no later than June 30 of each school year. Please send evaluation documents **double sided** to the personnel file and do not send this instructions page. A complete copy of each evaluation is also given to the teacher.

## STANDARDS OF EFFECTIVE TEACHING

Saint Paul Public Schools

Observer:		Site:	
Teacher: Time of Observation:	From:	To	Date:
	110111.	10.	Dato.

Subject/Grade:

# DOMAIN 1: ELEMENTS OF EFFECTIVE INSTRUCTION

Effective	Below Standar	d Area for	<	MEETS STANDARD >	2	Area of
Instructional	Below Standar	Growth		Solid Performan	се	Strength
Practices	1.0 1.5	5 2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0
I. A Academic Rigor, Clear Expectations, Fair and Credible Evaluations	Lesson plans lack some or all of the expected components.	<ul> <li>referen</li> <li>measu</li> <li>instruction</li> <li>means</li> </ul>	ans include: nce to standards irable objective( stional strategies of assessment g questions.	s) for student learning		
I. B Academic Rigor	Lessons lack som all of the elements effective instructio and result in minin increased student achievement over time.	s of Excellence achieveme nal lesson • direct • model • guideo • indepe • differe • acade • assess	e (PAE) training ent for all studer objective(s). instruction conn ing with "think a practice. endent and grou ntiated instructio	on. students at all achieve t learning.	sults in increas on includes <u>cle</u> able talk.	ed student
I. C Clear Expectations, Self-management of Learning	Limited use of student work to assess the effectiveness of lessons and/or pla new lessons.	<ul><li>assess</li><li>reflect</li><li>plan n</li></ul>	ent work to: s the effectivene on instructional ew lessons acco students to asse	practices.	ard standard.	
I. D	Does not effective teach students to technology in learning.		uses a range of I present informa	technologies in teachi ation.	ng and teache	s students to
I. E	Limited content knowledge or stud learning; few connections to oth subject areas or to diverse groups.	lent • effectiv • builds • ensure	connections wit	wledge and: content to students. hin and among subjec les and respects diver		

			< M	EETS STANDARD >			
Communication	Below Standard	Area for					
	1.0 1.5	Growth 2.0					
I. F See: Clear Expectations	Oral and/or written language is unclear or incorrect, and/or inappropriate.	-	2.5 en language	<b>3.0</b> e is clear, correct, and app	3.5 propriate for	4.0 all students.	
I. G See: Clear Expectations Notes:	Directions are confusing.	Directions and as needed.	d procedure	s are clearly communicate	ed, appropria	ate, and modified	
Notes.	1		-04	EETS STANDARD >			
Questioning and Discussion	Below Standard	Area for Growth	< <u>ivii</u>	Solid Performance		Area of Strength	
	1.0 1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	
I. H See: Academic Rigor & Accountable Talk	Questions are often lower order- especially for lower achievers - and elicit limited student response.	<ul> <li>analysis, synt</li> <li>are differe</li> <li>incorpora</li> <li>are purpo</li> </ul>	hesis, or ev entiated to e te accounta sefully sequ	engage all learners.	al goals.		
I. I See: Accountable Talk	Involves few students in the discussion.	the use of hig Think, Pa Cooperation	her order th				
Notes:							
			<n< th=""><th>IEETS STANDARD &gt;</th><th></th><th></th></n<>	IEETS STANDARD >			
Maintaining Students in Learning	Below Standard	Area for Growth	_	Solid Performance	0 F	Area of Strength	
I. J Academic Rigor	Limited or no link between new information and prior knowledge and experience.		difficult con	<b>3.0</b> mation with student's prio cepts to all achievement le			
I. K Academic Rigor & Self-management of Learning	Activities and assignments are often "busy work."	<ul> <li>support S</li> <li>support the recognized</li> </ul>	PPS standane lesson of their intere		ces.		
	There is limited or no	<ul> <li>recognize their interests, abilities and experiences.</li> <li>Students' diverse cultures and experiences, special needs, language, and learning styles are effectively accommodated, by using a variety of techniques, such as:         <ul> <li>specific ELL strategies.</li> <li>visuals and scaffolding.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>					
I. L Notes:	accommodation for diverse backgrounds and learning styles.	such as: • specific E • visuals ar	LL strategie	vely accommodated, by u es. ng.	sing a variet		

Skill Area		Performance Level < <u>MEETS STANDARD&gt;</u>						
Environment of	Below Standard	Area for	Area of					
Respect and		Growth		Solid Performance		Strength		
Rapport	1.0 1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0		
II. A	Relationships with			os with students that are a	ppropriate to	developmental,		
	students are	cultural and soc						
	negative,			s are respectful.	- 1 -			
	disrespectful or inequitable.			iven equitably to all stude				
				sbehavior are equitable fo	r all students			
II. B See: Accountable	Creates a negative classroom climate	Creates a class						
Talk	with evidence of low		•	environment for all.				
Ιαικ	expectations or bias.		-	mic and behavioral expect				
				s are equitable with all stu clear to all students and a				
II. C	Standards of conduct are unclear and/or			clear to all students and a ce of ritual and routine.	re lollowed.			
	not followed.				lo minimizo a	liaruntiana		
	Het followed.			dent behavior and works		iisiupiioris.		
			•	misbehavior is effective.	plane/progra	me		
Notes:			piements	school adopted discipline	pians/progra	1115.		
Notes.								
Environment for	Below Standard	Area for	< <u>ME</u>	EETS STANDARD>		Area of		
Learning	Below Otalidard	Growth		Solid Performance		Strength		
	1.0 1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0		
II. D	Fails to clearly		-	d displays standards for st				
Clear Expectations	communicate	tools to assess						
& Self-	standards for student			brics to assess own work.				
management of	work and/or provide	<ul> <li>exemplars</li> </ul>	•					
Learning	tools to assess them.			s use as models for their c	wn work			
0		<ul> <li>criteria cha</li> </ul>						
II. E	Classroom is			ed and instructional resou	rces are prep	pared and		
	cluttered,	accessible.	•					
	disorganized, not							
	conducive to							
	learning.							
Notes:								
Managing	Below Standard	Area for	< <u>ME</u>	ETS STANDARD >		Area of		
Classroom	Bolow otalidard	Growth		Solid Performance		Strength		
Procedures	1.0 1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0		
II. F	Students are				0.0	4.0		
п. г	inconsistently			heir work and on task. is equally visible for high	and low achi	0.000		
	engaged in their work		yayemeni	is equally visible for high		67613.		
	or off task.							
II. G	Considerable	Smooth transition	ons and eff	ficient handling of non-inst	ructional tas	ks are evident		
	instructional time is			are clearly established.				
	lost to transitions and							
	non-instructional							
	tasks.							
II. H	Does not set clear	Sets clear expe	ctations fo	r the work of paraprofessi	onals and vo	lunteers		
	expectations for			- Frichtersee		-		
	paraprofessionals							
	and volunteers.							
Notes:								

## DOMAIN 3: PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES

Skill Area					Performance Level <u>ETS STANDARD &gt;</u>			
Informing and Engaging	Below S		Area for Growth	Area of Strength				
Families	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	
III. A	Fails to effe communica parents.	•			s with parents regarding Communicate in home l			
III. B See: Clear Expectations	Families ar engaged in instructiona	the	Efforts to engage families in the instructional program are frequent and culturally appropriate.					
Notes:								
				< [	<u> /IEETS STANDARD &gt;</u>			
Professional Growth/Service to		Standard	Area for Growth		Solid Performance	9	Area of Strength	
Profession	1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0	
III. D	Ignores or feedback fi colleagues supervisors	rom and	Open to feed	lback from c	olleagues and superviso	Drs.		
III. E	Participate professiona developme required or minimal lev	al int if i at a	<ul> <li>Regularly participates in professional development and implements expected instructional practices with fidelity that are aligned with the PAE Implementation Guide or Disciplinary Literacy practices:</li> <li>takes advantage of coaching.</li> <li>aligns Professional Development Plan with PAE and student results.</li> <li>participates in Learning Walks.</li> </ul>					
III. G	Work with o teachers is ineffective.		<ul> <li>Works effectively and respectfully with others in:</li> <li>planning and implementing instruction (collaboration).</li> <li>actively participating in a Professional Learning Community.</li> <li>following through and sharing workloads with teams.</li> </ul>					

# **Professional Expectations:**

Has good attendance.	YES	NO
Has effective lesson plans available if absent.	YES	NO
Attire is appropriate.	YES	NO
Student attendance records, report cards and files are accurate and complete.	YES	NO
Follows laws and policies (ie; Due Process, Abuse Reporting, etc.).	YES	NO

# Effective 10/08/07

## SAINT PAUL PUBLIC SCHOOLS Standards of Effective Teaching

# SUMMARY EVALUATION

	Below				< <u>MEETS STANDA</u>	<u>RD</u> >	
	Standa 1.0	rd 1.5	Area for Growth		Solid Performan	ce	Area of Strength
			2.0	2.5	3.0	3.5	4.0
I. Effective Instructional Practices							
II. Communication							
III. Questioning and Discussion							
IV. Maintaining Students in Learning							
V. Environment of Respect and Rapport							
VI. Environment for Learning							
VII. Managing Classroom Procedures							
VIII. Informing and Engaging Families							
IX. Professional Growth/ Service to Profession							

# SUMMARY EVALUATION:

Please rate the overall performance of

Below Stan	dard	Needs Professiona Growth	al	Solid Po	erformance		Very strong in many standards
1.0	1.5	2.0	2.5	3	3.0	3.5	4.0
Comments	8:						
Signed:				_	Date:		_
	Princip	al/Administrator					
This perfor submit a le	mance appra tter pertainin	aisal has been disc ng to this evaluatior	ussed with to their per	me and rsonnel f	I have received file.	a complet	e copy of it. Teachers may
Signed: _					Date:		
	Teacher						
C: Personn	el File						

Effective 10/08/07